

Using a computer algebra system to simplify
expressions for Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions
associated with the Hydrogen Atom on the half line

by

Cecilia Knoll and Charles Fulton

TECHNICAL REPORT
FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
September 2007

Using a computer algebra system to simplify expressions for Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions associated with the Hydrogen Atom on the half line*

CECILIA KNOLL

Department of Applied Mathematics
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, Florida 32901-6975

CHARLES FULTON

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Florida Institute of Technology
Melbourne, Florida 32901-6975

Abstract

Abstract: In this paper we give simplified formulas for certain polynomials which arise in some new Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions for the radial part of the separated Hydrogen atom on the half line $(0, \infty)$ and two independent programs for generating them using the symbolic manipulator Mathematica.

1 Introduction

Recently Fulton[1] and Fulton and Langer [2] considered the following Sturm-Liouville problem for the hydrogen atom on the half line, $x \in (0, \infty)$:

$$-y'' + \left(-\frac{a}{x} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{x^2}\right)y = \lambda y, \quad 0 < x < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} W_x \left(y, x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{2\ell+1}(\sqrt{4ax})\right) = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

In [1] a fundamental system of Frobenius solutions defined at $x = 0$ was introduced having the forms:

$$\phi(x, \lambda) = x^{\ell+1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\lambda) x^n \right] = \frac{1}{(-2\sqrt{\lambda})^{\ell+1}} M_{\beta, \ell+\frac{1}{2}}(-2ix\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \beta := \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad (1.3)$$

*This research partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0109022 to Florida Institute of Technology.

and

$$\theta(x, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{2\ell+1} \left[K_\ell(\lambda) \phi(x, \lambda) \ln x + x^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(\lambda) x^{-\ell+n} \right] \quad (1.4)$$

Where $a_n(\lambda)$ and $d_n(\lambda)$ are polynomials in λ , $M_{\beta, \ell+\frac{1}{2}}$ is the Whittaker function of first kind and

$$K_\ell(\lambda) = -\frac{a}{(2\ell+1)!(2\ell)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} [4\lambda j^2 + a^2] = \frac{(-2i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}(-\ell-\beta)_{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell)!(2\ell+1)!}. \quad (1.5)$$

Then a Titchmarsh-Weyl m -function was introduced in [1] by the requirement

$$\theta(x, \lambda) - m_\ell(\lambda) \phi(x, \lambda) \in L_2(0, \infty), \quad (1.6)$$

which gives m_ℓ as

$$\begin{aligned} m_\ell(\lambda) &= -ak_\ell(\lambda) \left\{ \log(-2i\sqrt{\lambda}) + \Psi \left(1 - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) - H_{2\ell} + 2\gamma \right\} \\ &- ak_\ell(\lambda) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\left(j - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)} \right\} + \frac{(i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k \left(-\ell - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)_k}{k!(2\ell+1-k)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where $\Psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)}$ is the psi or digamma function, $H_{2\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{1}{j}$, γ = Euler's constant, and the Pochhammer symbol is defined for any complex z as $(z)_k = z(z+1)\dots(z+k-1)$. Here $k_\ell(\lambda)$ is the polynomial of degree ℓ defined by

$$k_\ell(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{a(2\ell+1)} K_\ell(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{(2\ell+1)!} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} [4\lambda j^2 + a^2]. \quad (1.8)$$

For $\ell = 0$, we define $k_0(\lambda) = 1$. The function $m_\ell(\lambda)$ is an analytic function in the half planes $\text{Im } \lambda < 0$ and $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$, has poles on the negative x -axis at the eigenvalues of the problem (1.1)-(1.2), and a branch cut, corresponding to the continuous spectrum, on the positive real λ -axis. In [2] a Pick-Nevalinna representation of $m_0(\lambda)$ was obtained for $\ell = 0$ and for $\ell \geq 1$ it was shown that $m_\ell(\lambda)$ has a Q -function representation which puts it in the class N_κ of generalized Nevalinna functions with $\kappa = \left\lfloor \frac{\ell+1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Our purpose in this paper is to show that the last two terms in $m_\ell(\lambda)$ can be decomposed into real and imaginary parts as

$$-ak_\ell(\lambda) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}} \right\} + \frac{(i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k \left(-\ell - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)_k}{k!(2\ell+1-k)} \right] = i\sqrt{\lambda}k_\ell(\lambda) + \frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell+1}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $r_\ell(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree ℓ in λ .

Effectively, the decomposition (1.9) into real and imaginary parts becomes a defining equation for $r_\ell(\lambda)$. Our first *Mathematica* program enables the decomposition to be verified. Next, in Section 3 we separate the left hand side of (1.9) into real and imaginary parts by introducing polynomial representations of $(-\ell-t)_k$ in t and

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} \left(\lambda + \frac{a^2}{4j^2} \right) \text{ in } \lambda,$$

so that the real part can be represented as a polynomial of degree ℓ . This yields a real, somewhat explicit, representation for $r_\ell/(2\ell+1)$, and using it a second *Mathematica* program shows that this real representation yields the same result as the first *Mathematica* program.

2 The First *Mathematica* program

A simple program in *Mathematica* can be used to verify that the polynomial $r_\ell(\lambda)$ in (1.9) is real valued. This makes use of the built-in function **Pochhammer** $[a, k]$ which executes the multiplications in the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_k$.

Using the second expression in (1.5) for $K_\ell(\lambda)$, we have using (1.8) that

$$b := -ak_\ell(\lambda) = \frac{K_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell + 1} = \frac{(-2i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}(-\ell - \beta)_{2\ell+1}}{[(2\ell + 1)!]^2}.$$

Accordingly, solving (1.9) for $\frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell+1}$, we have

$$\frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell + 1} = b \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j - \beta} \right\} + c + \frac{bi\sqrt{\lambda}}{a}, \text{ where } c := \frac{(i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell + 1)!} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k \left(-\ell - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)_k}{k!(2\ell + 1 - k)} \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

Following is the *Mathematica* program which implements equation (2.1). The output for $\frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell+1}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$, and 4 is shown. The program was executed up to $\ell = 30$ showing that $r_\ell(\lambda)$ remained real-valued. Observe that the constant a multiplies all terms of $\frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell+1}$ which in the *Mathematica* output is `ans1`. This is also proved in (3.7) below.

First Program

Program input: ℓ

```

ℓ = 4;
While[ℓ < 6, β =  $\frac{Ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}$ ;
b = Expand[ $(-2I\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1} \frac{\text{Pochhammer}[-\ell-\beta, 2\ell+1]}{(2\ell+1)!^2}$ ; (*This generates  $K_\ell/(2\ell + 1)$ . See (1.5).*)
c = Apart[Simplify[ $\frac{(I\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{\text{Pochhammer}[-\ell-\beta, k] 2^k}{k!(2\ell+1-k)}$ ]];
g = b  $\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{2\ell} \frac{1}{j}\right)$ ;
e = b  $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j-\beta}$ ;
f =  $\frac{bI\sqrt{\lambda}}{a}$ ;
Print["For ℓ =", ℓ];
ans1 = Simplify[c + e + f];
Print["ans1 = ", ans1]; (*This generates the RHS of (2.1).*)
ans2 = Series[ans1, {λ, 0, ℓ}]; Print[" = ", ans2];
ans3 = Simplify[g + c + e + f]; Print["ans3 = ", ans3]; (*This generates the RHS of (2.6)*)
ans4 = Series[ans3, {λ, 0, ℓ}]; Print[" = ", ans4];
ℓ = ℓ + 1]

```

Program output:

For $\ell = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ans1} &= -\frac{a\lambda}{36} \\ \text{ans3} &= \frac{1}{72}(3a^3 + 10a\lambda) = \frac{a^3}{24} + \frac{5a\lambda}{36} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

For $\ell = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} ans1 &= -\frac{a\lambda(a^2 + 13\lambda)}{7200} = -\frac{a^3\lambda}{7200} - \frac{13a\lambda^2}{7200} \\ ans3 &= \frac{25a^5 + 476a^3\lambda + 1288a\lambda^2}{172800} = \frac{a^5}{6912} + \frac{119a^3\lambda}{43200} + \frac{161a\lambda^2}{21600} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For $\ell = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} ans1 &= -\frac{a\lambda(a^4 + 46a^2\lambda + 400\lambda^2)}{8467200} = -\frac{a^5\lambda}{8467200} - \frac{23a^3\lambda^2}{4233600} - \frac{a\lambda^3}{21168} \\ ans3 &= \frac{49a^7 + 2684a^5\lambda + 35656a^3\lambda^2 + 88896a\lambda^3}{508032000} \\ &= \frac{a^7}{10368000} + \frac{671a^3\lambda}{127008000} + \frac{4457a^3\lambda^2}{63504000} + \frac{463a\lambda^3}{2646000} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For $\ell = 4$

$$\begin{aligned} ans1 &= -\frac{a\lambda(a^6 + 107a^4\lambda + 3124a^2\lambda^2 + 22548\lambda^3)}{32920473600} \\ &= -\frac{a^7\lambda}{32920473600} - \frac{107a^5\lambda^2}{32920473600} - \frac{781a^3\lambda^3}{8230118400} - \frac{1879a\lambda^4}{2743372800} \\ ans3 &= \frac{761a^9 + 90200a^7\lambda + 3204208a^5\lambda^2 + 36438400a^3\lambda^3 + 86960256a\lambda^4}{36870930432000} \\ &= \frac{761a^9}{36870930432000} + \frac{451a^7\lambda}{184354652160} + \frac{4087a^3\lambda^3}{11522165760} + \frac{226459a\lambda^4}{96018048000}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Output for the polynomials

$$p_\ell(\lambda) := \frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell + 1} + ak_\ell(\lambda)H_{2\ell}, \quad (2.6)$$

which arise in the representation of the m_ℓ function from [1, Equation(8.15)],

$$m_\ell(\lambda) = k_\ell(\lambda) \left\{ -a \log(-2i\sqrt{\lambda}) - a\Psi \left(1 - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) - 2\gamma a + i\sqrt{\lambda} \right\} + p_\ell(\lambda)$$

is also given below for $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{a^3}{24} + \frac{5a\lambda}{36}, \\ p_2 &= \frac{a^5}{6912} + \frac{119a^3}{43200}\lambda + \frac{161a}{21600}\lambda^2, \\ p_3 &= \frac{a^7}{10368000} + \frac{671a^5}{127008000}\lambda + \frac{4457a^3}{63504000}\lambda^2 + \frac{463a}{2646000}\lambda^3 \\ p_4 &= \frac{761a^9}{36870930432000} + \frac{451a^7}{184354652160}\lambda + \frac{4087a^5}{47029248000}\lambda^2 + \frac{11387a^3}{11522165760}\lambda^3 + \frac{226459a}{96018048000}\lambda^4. \end{aligned}$$

Here p_ℓ is printed as *ans3* in the above program.

3 Explicit Representation for r_ℓ

In this section we give a method for separating the expression in equation (1.9) into real and imaginary parts, yielding a real representation for the polynomial $r_\ell(\lambda)$. The difficulty arises from the complicated product in the Pochhammer symbol $(\ell - \beta)_k$ where $\beta = \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}$. Replacing β by a real variable t , we let the coefficients of the polynomial $(-\ell - t)_k$ be defined by

$$g_k(t) := (-\ell - t)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (-\ell + j - t) = \sum_{n=0}^k \alpha(k, n) t^n = \sum_{j=0}^{k_1} \alpha(k, 2j) t^{2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \alpha(k, 2j+1) t^{2j+1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $k_1 = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ and $k_2 = \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$. Here $\alpha(k, n) = \alpha_\ell(k, n)$, and we are interested for fixed ℓ to have $\alpha_\ell(k, n)$ available for all $0 \leq k \leq 2\ell$, and all $0 \leq n \leq k$. For $n = 0$, the constant term is $\alpha_\ell(k, 0) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (-\ell + j) = (-\ell)_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2\ell$. Formulas for larger values of n become increasingly more complicated and are not known in closed form. We can, however, represent the real and the imaginary parts of (1.9) in terms of $\alpha(k, n)$. Putting $t = \beta = \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}$ in (3.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(\beta) &= \sum_{n=0}^k \alpha(k, n) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^n i^n (\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})^n \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k_1} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j} i^{2j} (\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2j} \\ &\quad + i \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j+1} (\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now for the $(i\sqrt{\lambda})^{2\ell+1}$ term in (1.9) we have $(i)^{2\ell+1} = (-1)^\ell i$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus the second sum in (1.9) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &i(-1)^\ell \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} g_k(\beta) (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2\ell+1} \\ &= -(-1)^\ell \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k_2} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j+1} (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2\ell+1-(2j+1)} \right] \\ &\quad + i(-1)^\ell \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k_1} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j} (\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2\ell+1-2j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k A_k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} + i \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k B_k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$A_k = (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j} \lambda^{\ell-j} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$B_k = (-1)^\ell \sum_{j=0}^{k_1} (-1)^j \alpha(k, 2j) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j} \lambda^{\ell-j} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.5)$$

Similarly, we may decompose the first term in (1.9) as

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{m - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{4\lambda m}{4\lambda m^2 + a^2} + i \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{2a\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{4\lambda m^2 + a^2}. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (1.8) and cancelling one $4\lambda j^2 + a^2$ factor for each term in the above sums, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -ak_{\ell}(\lambda) \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{m - \frac{ia}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}} \right] &= -\frac{a}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} 4\lambda m \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} (4\lambda j^2 + a^2) \right] \\ &\quad + i \left(-\frac{a}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} 2a\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (4\lambda j^2 + a^2) \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.7) and taking real and imaginary parts of (1.9), we thus obtain:

$$\sqrt{\lambda}k_{\ell}(\lambda) = -\frac{2a^2\sqrt{\lambda}}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} (4\lambda j^2 + a^2) \right] + \sum_{k=0}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k B_k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\frac{r_{\ell}(\lambda)}{2\ell+1} = -\frac{4\lambda a}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} m \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} (4\lambda j^2 + a^2) \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k A_k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Since every A_k involves a as a factor, it follows that a factor of a occurs in both terms on the right hand side of (3.9). Hence $a = 0$ will give $r_{\ell}(\lambda) = 0$.

To bring the expression in (3.9) into a simpler form, we now isolate the coefficients of the powers of λ . For the second term in (3.9) we insert the formula (3.4) for A_k into the sum, change the summation index ($m = \ell - j$), and then interchange the order of summation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k A_k}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \frac{2^k (-1)^{\ell+1+j} \alpha(k, 2j+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2j+1} \lambda^{\ell-j}}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{2\ell} \sum_{m=\ell-k_2}^{\ell} \left[\frac{2^k (-1)^{m+1} \alpha(k, 2(\ell-m)+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2(\ell-m)+1}}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \right] \lambda^m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{k=2(\ell-m)+1}^{2\ell} \left[\frac{2^k (-1)^{m+1} \alpha(k, 2(\ell-m)+1) \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2(\ell-m)+1}}{(2\ell+1)!k!(2\ell+1-k)} \right] \lambda^m \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j \lambda^j \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$d_j := \frac{a}{2} \sum_{k=2(\ell-j)+1}^{2\ell} \left[\frac{2^k (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2(\ell-j)} \alpha(k, 2(\ell-j) + 1)}{(2\ell+1)! k! (2\ell+1-k)} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly, to isolate the powers of λ in the first term in (3.7) we first define the coefficients $\gamma(m, n)$ of the $\ell - 1$ degree polynomial,

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} \left(\lambda + \frac{a^2}{4j^2} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \gamma(m, n) \lambda^n. \quad (3.12)$$

Insertion of this into the first term in (3.9), changing the summation index ($j = n + 1$), and interchanging the order of summation then yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-4\lambda a}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} m \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^{\ell} (4\lambda j^2 + a^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{-a4^\ell (\ell!)^2}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{\gamma(m, n)}{m} \right] \lambda^{n+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{-a4^\ell (\ell!)^2}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\frac{\gamma(m, j-1)}{m} \right] \lambda^j \right] \\ &= \frac{-a4^\ell (\ell!)^2}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \left[\frac{\gamma(m, j-1)}{m} \right] \lambda^j \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_j \lambda^j \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$c_j := -\frac{a4^\ell (\ell!)^2}{[(2\ell+1)!]^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{\gamma(m, j-1)}{m} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

Putting (3.10) and (3.13) in (3.9) we thus have for the polynomial $r_\ell(\lambda)/(2\ell+1)$ the representation,

$$\frac{r_\ell(\lambda)}{2\ell+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (c_j + d_j) \lambda^j. \quad (3.15)$$

Here it is clear that a is a common factor in c_j and d_j for all j , and hence for the case $a = 0$, $r_\ell \equiv 0$. The expression (3.15) is somewhat more explicit than the expression (2.1) and (3.9) since the coefficients of the powers of λ are isolated, and there are no complex terms present. On the other hand, further simplifications are certainly desirable; however, this requires closed form formulas for $\alpha(k, n)$ and $\gamma(m, n)$ which remain elusive. However, the formulas for c_j and d_j are easily implemented using a symbolic manipulator.

4 The Second *Mathematica* Program

As an independent check on the first *Mathematica* program we implemented the formulas (3.11) and (3.14) for d_j and c_j and computed the polynomial of degree ℓ in (3.15). This required computing and storing the

coefficient $\alpha(k, n)$ and $\gamma(m, n)$ in (3.1) and (3.12). Following is the *Mathematica* program that does this to compute $r_\ell(\lambda)/(2\ell + 1)$. The output for $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and 4 is shown. The program was executed up to $\ell = 30$ and gave exact agreement with the first *Mathematica* program.

Second Program

Program input: ℓ

```

 $\ell = 4; k = 0;$ 
While[ $k \leq 2\ell$ ,
   $p[t_] = \text{Expand}[\text{Pochhammer}[-\ell - t, k]]; n = k; i = 0;$ 
  While[ $i \leq n$ ,
     $\alpha[k, i] = p[0];$ 
     $p[t_] = \text{Simplify}[\text{Expand}[(p[t] - \alpha[k, i])/t]];$ 
     $i++$ 
  ]
   $k++$ 
]
Clear[ $k$ ];  $j = 1;$ 
While[ $j \leq \ell$ ,
   $d[j] = \sum_{k=2(\ell-j)+1}^{2\ell} \frac{2^k (-1)^{j-1} \alpha[k, 2\ell-2j+1] (\frac{a}{2})^{2\ell-2j+1}}{(2\ell+1)! k! (2\ell+1-k)}; j++$ 
]
Clear[ $j$ ];  $m = 1;$ 
While[ $m \leq \ell$ ,
   $q[t_] = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left( t + \frac{a^2}{4j^2} \right) \right) / \left( t + \frac{a^2}{4m^2} \right); n = \ell - 1; i = 0;$ 
  While[ $i \leq n$ ,
     $\gamma[m, i] = q[0];$ 
     $q[t_] = \text{Simplify}[\text{Expand}[(q[t] - \gamma[m, i])/t]];$ 
     $i++$ 
  ]
   $m++$ 
]
Clear[ $i$ ];  $j = 1;$ 
While[ $j \leq \ell$ ,
   $c[j] = \frac{-a4^\ell (\ell)^2}{((2\ell+1)!)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \frac{\gamma[m, j-1]}{m}; j++$ 
]
Print[ $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (c[j] + d[j]) t^j$ ]

```

Output of second program

For $\ell = 1$:

$$\frac{r_\ell}{(2\ell + 1)} = -\frac{at}{36}$$

For $\ell = 2$:

$$\frac{r_\ell}{(2\ell + 1)} = -\frac{a^3 t}{7200} - \frac{13at^2}{7200}$$

For $\ell = 3$:

$$\frac{r_\ell}{(2\ell + 1)} = -\frac{a^5 t}{8467200} - \frac{23a^3 t^2}{4233600} - \frac{at^3}{21168}$$

For $\ell = 4$:

$$\frac{r_\ell}{(2\ell + 1)} = -\frac{a^7 t}{32920473600} - \frac{107a^5 t^2}{32920473600} - \frac{781a^3 t^3}{8230118400} - \frac{1879at^4}{2743372800}$$

This output is in agreement with the output of the first program, and was also checked up to $\ell = 30$. The two *Mathematica* programs verify that the imaginary part of the expression (2.1) for $r_\ell(\lambda)/(2\ell + 1)$ is zero

for all values of ℓ for which the programs were executed. A general proof that this is true for all ℓ , that is, a proof of (3.8) (or, equivalently, a proof of (3.9)) requires that the $\alpha(k, n)$ and $\gamma(m, n)$ coefficients be obtained in a simplified form, and this appears to be a formidable task. It is quite difficult to perform induction on ℓ to prove (3.8) or (3.9) because of the complicated manner in which $\alpha(k, n) = \alpha_\ell(k, n)$ and $\gamma(m, n) = \gamma_\ell(m, n)$ change with ℓ . Nevertheless, it may be possible to construct rigorous proofs by making use of some combinatorial analysis. For example there are general forms for the coefficients of a polynomial having k known roots x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Sen and Krishnamurthy [3], for example, show that

$$q_k(x) := \prod_{j=1}^k (x - x_j) = x^k + \sum_{m=1}^k a_m x^{k-m} \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$a_m := (-1)^m S_m := (-1)^m \sum x_1 x_2 \dots x_m, \quad (4.2)$$

where the sum is taken over all the products of x_i taken m at a time. Thus, for the polynomial $g_k(t)$ of (3.1) we have

$$g_k(t) = (-1)^k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} [t - (j - \ell)] = (-1)^k \prod_{j=1}^k [t - (j - 1 - \ell)] = (-1)^k \left(t^k + \sum_{m=1}^k a_m t^{k-m} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

with

$$a_m = a_\ell(k, m) = (-1)^m \sum t_1 t_2 \dots t_m \quad (4.4)$$

where the sum is taken over all the products of $t_j := j - 1 - \ell$ taken m at a time. But, a general solution for a_m as a function of k and ℓ , valid for all ℓ and all $0 \leq k \leq 2\ell$, remains elusive. Another idea would be to establish (3.8) or (3.9) by induction on ℓ , but it unfortunately appears difficult to employ the induction hypothesis. So, an analytic proof of (3.8) or (3.9) valid for all $\ell \geq 1$ remains an open problem.

5 Conclusion

The simplification of $a_\ell(k, n)$ and a rigorous proof of (3.8) and/or (3.9) remain as open problems. We have, however, given in this note two *Mathematica* programs which implicitly establish both of these results.

References

- [1] C. Fulton, Titchmarsh-Weyl m-functions for Second-order Sturm Liouville Problems with two singular endpoints, *Math. Nachr.* 281 (10) (2008), 1418-1475.
- [2] C. Fulton and H. Langer, Sturm-Liouville operators with singularities and Generalized Nevanlinna functions, *Complex Anal. and Oper. Theory*, to appear.
- [3] E.V. Krishnamurthy and S.K. Sen, *Numerical Algorithms: Computations in Science and Engineering*, Affiliated East West Press, New Delhi, 2001.